

Raster Still Images for Digitization A Comparison of File Formats

Part 2. Detailed Matrix (multi-page)

This document presents the information on multiple, easily printable pages.

Part 1 provides the same information in a unified table to facilitate comparisons.

April 17, 2014

The FADGI Still Image Working Group http://www.digitizationguidelines.gov/still-image/

Raster Still Images for Digitization: A Comparison of File Formats

This is the template for the pages that follow. The reference is the detailed matrix in part 1 of this document set.

ATTRIBUTE:

- Scoring conventions:
- Questions to Consider:

TIFF	Common TIFF,	
	Uncompressed	
	Common TIFF,	
	Lossless Compressed	
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	
	Uncompressed	
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	
	Compressed	
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	
	JPEG 2000: JPX	
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	
PNG	PNG	
PDF	PDF (1.1-1.7)	
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	_
	GeoPDF*	

^{*} GeoPDF refers to either TerraGo GeoPDF or Adobe Geospatial PDF

ATTRIBUTE: Sustainability Factors: Disclosure

- Scoring Conventions: Good, Acceptable, Poor
- Questions to Consider: Does complete technical documentation exist for this format? Is the format a standard (e.g., ISO)? Are source code for associated rendering software, validation tools, and software development kits widely available for this format?

TIFF	Common TIFF,	Good
	Uncompressed	3004
	Common TIFF,	Good
		Good
	Lossless Compressed	
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Good
	Uncompressed	
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Good
	Compressed	
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	Good
	JPEG 2000: JPX	Good
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	Good
	,	
PNG	PNG	Good
PDF	PDF (1.1-1.7)	Good
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	Good
	1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	GeoPDF	Good
		0004

ATTRIBUTE: Sustainability Factors: Adoption

- Scoring conventions: Wide Adoption, Moderate Adoption, Limited Adoption
- Questions to Consider: Is this format likely to become obsolete short, medium, or long-term? How widely adopted is the format in the digitization vendor community? Are there software tools available around this format? Are there user/developer communities that are actively discussing the format and its further development?

TIFF	Common TIFF,	Wide adoption
	Uncompressed	
	Common TIFF,	Wide adoption
	Lossless Compressed	
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Wide adoption (adoption tends to be
	Uncompressed	limited to geospatial communities, but is widely adopted there)
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Wide adoption (adoption tends to be
	Compressed	limited to geospatial communities, but is widely adopted there)
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	Moderate-to-Wide Adoption (moderate adoption in cultural heritage community, but widely adopted in communities such as moving images. Negligible support in browsers and still cameras)
	JPEG 2000: JPX	Moderate Adoption (some adopt JPX but it is not as adopted as core coding)
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	Wide Adoption (adoption is very high, ubiquitous)
PNG	PNG	Wide adoption
PDF	PDF (1.1-1.7)	Wide adoption
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	Wide adoption
	GeoPDF	Wide adoption

ATTRIBUTE: Sustainability Factors: Transparency

• Scoring conventions: Good, Acceptable, Poor

Questions to Consider: Is it a linear bitmap or is it more complex (e.g., compression). Need to consider ability of each format to compensate for lack of transparency. What is the impact

of having many options and potentially complex implementations?

TIFF	Common TIFF, Uncompressed	Good
	Common TIFF, Lossless Compressed	Acceptable (added layer of encoding due to compression)
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF, Uncompressed	Good
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF, Compressed	Acceptable (added layer of encoding due to compression)
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	Acceptable. Compression is compensated for by resiliency elements, intended to mitigate low levels of transparency. However, the format offers many options (tiling, quality layers, progression order, more), and some users have found that "legal" variations may not interoperate from one application to another.
	JPEG 2000: JPX	Acceptable. Compression is compensated for by resiliency elements, intended to mitigate low levels of transparency. However, the format offers many options (tiling, quality layers, progression order, more), and some users have found that "legal" variations may not interoperate from one application to another.
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	Acceptable
PNG	PNG	Acceptable
PDF	PDF (1.1-1.7)	Acceptable
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	Acceptable
	GeoPDF	Acceptable

ATTRIBUTE: Sustainability Factors: Self-Documentation

- Scoring conventions: Good, Acceptable, Poor
- Questions to Consider: Does the format offer ample documentation (e.g., metadata) that makes the digital object a completely self-describing entity? Does the metadata fully describe the file/file format?

TIFF	Common TIFF, Uncompressed	Acceptable
	Common TIFF, Lossless Compressed	Acceptable
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF, Uncompressed	Acceptable
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF, Compressed	Acceptable
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	Good (more capabilities for entering metadata)
	JPEG 2000: JPX	Good (more capabilities for entering metadata)
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	Acceptable
PNG	PNG	Good
PDF	PDF (1.1-1.7)	Good
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	Good
	GeoPDF	Good

ATTRIBUTE: Sustainability Factors: Native Embedded Metadata Capabilities

• Scoring conventions: Good, Acceptable, Poor

• Questions to Consider: What embedded metadata standards are available for this format? How mature are the schemas for each? What is the extent of use of the embedded metadata and who is using it?

TIFF	Common TIFF,	Acceptable (limited to header tags)
	Uncompressed	
	r	
	Common TIFF,	Acceptable (limited to header tags)
	Lossless Compressed	
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Acceptable (limited to header tags)
	Uncompressed	
	_	
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Acceptable (limited to header tags)
	Compressed	
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	Good (open and extensible)
	JPEG 2000: JPX	Good (open and extensible)
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	Acceptable (limited to technical
		metadata, not descriptive metadata)
PNG	PNG	Good
PDF	PDF (1.1-1.7)	Good
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	Good
	GeoPDF	Good

ATTRIBUTE: Sustainability Factors: Embedded Metadata Capabilities Through Extension

• Scoring conventions: Good, Acceptable, Poor

Ouestions to Consider:

• Questions to Cons		T
TIFF	Common TIFF,	Good (XMP)
	Uncompressed	
	Common TIFF,	Good (XMP)
	Lossless Compressed	
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Good (Extended TIFF header elements
	Uncompressed	are generally used rather than XMP)
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Good (Extended TIFF header elements
	Compressed	are generally used rather than XMP)
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	Good (open and extensible)
	JPEG 2000: JPX	Good (open and extensible)
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	Good (XMP for descriptive and EXIF for
		technical information such as camera,
		shutter speed, etc.)
PNG	PNG	Good (XMP)
PDF	PDF (1.1-1.7)	Good
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	Good
	GeoPDF	Good

ATTRIBUTE: Sustainability Factors: Level of Work Necessary to Embed Native Metadata

• Scoring conventions: High, Medium, Low

• Questions to Consider: Is there a characteristic inherent to this format related to embedded metadata that distinguishes this format from others as far as level of effort required?

		s as fair as level of effort required:
TIFF	Common TIFF,	Low (header tags)
	Uncompressed	
	Common TIFF,	Low (header tags)
	Lossless Compressed	
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Low (header tags)
	Uncompressed	20 W (Medder tags)
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Low (header tags)
		Low (fleader tags)
IDEC 2000	Compressed	T () 1 CC .
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	Low (caveats: more time and effort may
		be required due to learning curve and
		available tools. Main obstacle is the
		format that metadata needs to adhere to,
		not inherent in the file format itself.
		There may be a need to establish your
		own specification for metadata)
		own specification for includate
	JPEG 2000: JPX	Low (caveats: more time and effort may
	J1 EG 2000. J1 A	be required due to learning curve and
		available tools. Main obstacle is the
		format that metadata needs to adhere to,
		not inherent in the file format itself.
		There may be a need to establish your
		own specification for metadata)
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	Low
	,	
PNG	PNG	Low (tool may be required)
		Zon (tool may be required)
PDF	PDF (1.1-1.7)	Low (tool may be required)
	(1.1 1.7)	20 (tool may be required)
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	Low (tool may be required)
	1 D17A (1, 1a, 10, 2)	Low (tool may be required)
	GeoPDF	Lovy (to al may be magnined)
	Georde	Low (tool may be required)

ATTRIBUTE: Sustainability Factors: Level of Work Necessary to Embed Metadata Through Extension

• Scoring conventions: High, Medium, Low

• Questions to Consider:

• Questions to Con		
TIFF	Common TIFF,	Low (XMP)
	Uncompressed	
	Common TIFF,	Low (XMP)
	Lossless Compressed	, , ,
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Low (Extended TIFF header elements are
	Uncompressed	generally used rather than XMP)
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Low (Extended TIFF header elements are
	Compressed	generally used rather than XMP)
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	None (No additional work required due
		to open and extensive native capability)
	JPEG 2000: JPX	None (No additional work required due
		to open and extensive native capability)
IDEC	IDEC (IEIE: 41 EVIE)	L (VMD)
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	Low (XMP)
PNG	PNG	Low (XMP)
ING	ING	Low (Aivii)
PDF	PDF (1.1-1.7)	Low (XMP)
		20 (11.11)
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	Low (XMP)
	(, . , . , , ,	
	GeoPDF	Low (XMP)

ATTRIBUTE: Sustainability Factors: Geo-referencing Metadata

Scoring conventions: Good, Acceptable, PoorQuestions to Consider:

Questions to cons		T
TIFF	Common TIFF,	Not supported
	Uncompressed	
	Common TIFF,	Not supported
	Lossless Compressed	
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Good
	Uncompressed	
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Good
	Compressed	
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	Not supported (see JPX)
	JPEG 2000: JPX	Good (OGC GMLJP2 specification available to handle this)
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	Limited grid coordinate data may be held in EXIF data. Richer GIS data provided by sidecar "world file" (jgw extension) supported by some applications.
PNG	PNG	Not supported
PDF	PDF (1.1-1.7)	Not supported
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	Not supported
	GeoPDF	Good; TerraGo geo display functionality may be limited to Windows app

ATTRIBUTE: Sustainability Factors: Level of Effort to Embed Geo-referencing Metadata

• Scoring conventions: High, Medium, Low

• Questions to Consider:

Questions to Cons		NI/A (OTO 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1
TIFF	Common TIFF,	N/A (GIS data can be provided by sidecar
	Uncompressed	'world file' (tfw extension) supported by
		some applications.)
	Common TIFF,	N/A (GIS data can be provided by sidecar
	Lossless Compressed	'world file' (tfw extension) supported by
	The same of the sa	some applications.)
		some appreciations.)
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Low (open source tools)
	Uncompressed	
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Low (open source tools)
	Compressed	, ,
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	N/A
	JPEG 2000: JPX	Low-medium (tools available to embed
		GML data)
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	Low (tools available in GIS software)
1120	VI Ze (VI II WIM ZI III)	Zow (tools available in Gla software)
PNG	PNG	N/A
TNO		14/11
PDF	PDF (1.1-1.7)	N/A
I DI'		11/73
	DDE/A (1, 1 ₀ , 1 ₀ , 2)	NT/A
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	N/A
	G PDF	
	GeoPDF	Low

ATTRIBUTE: Sustainability Factors: Impact of Patents

Scoring conventions: Possible impacts or No impacts

Questions to Consider: Are there patents related to this format that could have a direct impact on the long-term sustainability of files produced in this format?

TIFF	Common TIFF, Uncompressed	No Impact
	Common TIFF, Lossless Compressed	No Impact (Patents on LZW compression have expired, alleviating a concern)
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF, Uncompressed	No Impact
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF, Compressed	Low Impact (Patents on LZW compression have expired, alleviating a concern)
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	Little or No Impact
	JPEG 2000: JPX	Possible Impact (some patents may apply)
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	No Impact
PNG	PNG	No Impact
PDF	PDF (1.1-1.7)	No Impact
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	No Impact
	GeoPDF	No Impact

ATTRIBUTE: Sustainability Factors: Technical Protection Mechanisms

• Scoring conventions: Possible impacts or No impacts

• Questions to Consider: Are there technical protection measures inherent to this format that would prohibit the creation of ample derivatives/other formats?

-		
TIFF	Common TIFF,	No Impact
	Uncompressed	
	Common TIFF,	No Impact
	Lossless Compressed	_
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	No Impact
	Uncompressed	
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	No Impact
	Compressed	
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	No Impact
	JPEG 2000: JPX	No Impact
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	No Impact
PNG	PNG	No Impact
PDF	PDF (1.1-1.7)	No Impact (protection mechanisms are available but not required and not a deterrent from choosing this format)
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	No Impact (protection mechanisms are available but not required and not a deterrent from choosing this format)
	GeoPDF	No Impact (protection mechanisms are available but not required and not a deterrent from choosing this format)

ATTRIBUTE: Cost Factors: Implementation Cost

• Scoring conventions: High, Medium, Low

• Questions to Consider: Software/capture, Software/deliver, IT support [staff], Startup (training, support, expertise)

(training, support,	1	T _
TIFF	Common TIFF,	Low
	Uncompressed	
	Common TIFF,	Low
	Lossless Compressed	
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Low
	Uncompressed	
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Low
	Compressed	
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	Medium-High
	JPEG 2000: JPX	Madium High (may maguing added gas
	JPEG 2000: JPX	Medium-High (may require added georeferencing tool)
		referencing tool)
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	Low
PNG	PNG	Low
PDF	PDF (1.1-1.7)	Medium-high (tools can be expensive)
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	Medium-high (tools can be expensive)
	GeoPDF	Medium-high (tools can be expensive)

ATTRIBUTE: Cost Factors: Cost of Software Tools

• Scoring conventions: Cost of software tools

• Questions to Consider: High, Medium, Low

	Common TIEE	T
TIFF	Common TIFF,	Low
	Uncompressed	
	Common TIFF,	Low
	Lossless Compressed	
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Low
	Uncompressed	
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Low
	Compressed	
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	Medium-High (best toolsets available
		currently are proprietary tools. Open
		source tools are not yet mature)
	JPEG 2000: JPX	Medium-High (best toolsets available
		currently are proprietary tools. Open
		source tools are not yet mature)
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	Low
PNG	PNG	Low
PDF	PDF (1.1-1.7)	Medium-High (best toolsets available
		currently for this use case are proprietary
		tools)
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	Medium-High (best toolsets available
		currently for this use case are proprietary
		tools)
	GeoPDF	Medium-High (best toolsets available
		currently for this use case are proprietary
		tools)

ATTRIBUTE: Cost Factors: Cost of equipment needed to produce files

• Scoring conventions: High, Medium, Low

• Questions to Consider:

• Questions to Cons	siuci.	
TIFF	Common TIFF,	Low
	Uncompressed	
	Common TIFF,	Low
	Lossless Compressed	
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Low
	Uncompressed	
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Low
	Compressed	
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	Low-Medium (computationally intense compression)
	JPEG 2000: JPX	Low-Medium (computationally intense compression)
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	Low
PNG	PNG	Low
PDF	PDF (1.1-1.7)	Low-Medium
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	Low-Medium
	GeoPDF	Low-Medium

ATTRIBUTE: Cost Factors: Storage Cost

• Scoring conventions: High, Medium, Low

• Questions to Consider: Are files created in this format usually large, medium, or small in size? (The values assigned in this category are especially rough-and-ready.)

TIFF	Common TIFF, Uncompressed	High
	Common TIFF, Lossless Compressed	Medium for LZW on tonal images (NOTE: LZW on high-bit or pictorial images will increase the size and therefore the storage footprint/cost) Low for bitonal with group 4
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF, Uncompressed	High
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF, Compressed	Medium for LZW on tonal images
		Low for bitonal with group 4 (unlikely scenario)
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	Low
	JPEG 2000: JPX	Low
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	Low-medium
PNG	PNG	Medium
PDF	PDF (1.1-1.7)	Low (you would generally use PDF in cases where you could take advantage of compression)
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	Low (you would generally use PDF in cases where you could take advantage of compression)
	GeoPDF	Low (you would generally use PDF in cases where you could take advantage of compression)

ATTRIBUTE: Cost Factors: Network Cost

• Scoring conventions: High, Medium, Low

• Questions to Consider: Does the transfer of files in this format affect performance of internal networks to the point where it would cost more to implement this format? File transfer for ingest into archive, transfer to "working area" for processing and access derivative creation.

		processing and access derivative creation.
TIFF	Common TIFF,	High
	Uncompressed	
	Common TIFF,	Medium for LZW on tonal images
	Lossless Compressed	Wediam for E2W on tonar images
	Lossiess Compressed	Low for bitonal with group 4
		Low for offonal with group 4
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	High
	Uncompressed	_
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Medium for LZW on tonal images
	Compressed	
		Low for bitonal with group 4 (unlikely
		scenario)
IDEC 2000	IDEC 2000, ID2	T
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	Low
	JPEG 2000: JPX	Low
	31 LG 2000. 31 A	Low
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	Low-medium
	,	
PNG	PNG	Medium
PDF	PDF (1.1-1.7)	Low (you would generally use PDF in
	, ,	cases where you could take advantage of
		compression)
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	Low (you would generally use PDF in
		cases where you could take advantage of
		compression)
		,
	GeoPDF	Low (you would generally use PDF in
		cases where you could take advantage of
		compression)

ATTRIBUTE: Cost Factors: Ongoing Cost of Production

• Scoring conventions: High, Medium, Low

Questions to Consider: Scanner speed/file transformation and compression? How many scans per hour can be accomplished? CPU usage calculations to produce derivatives?

	, <u> </u>	ations to produce derivatives?
TIFF	Common TIFF,	Medium-High
	Uncompressed	
	Common TIFF,	Medium
	Lossless Compressed	
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Medium-High
	Uncompressed	
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Medium
	Compressed	
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	Low-Medium
	JPEG 2000: JPX	Low-Medium
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	Low-Medium
PNG	PNG	Medium
PDF	PDF (1.1-1.7)	Medium (longer post process. could vary greatly dependent on original and number of pages, etc.)
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	Medium (longer post process. could vary greatly dependent on original and number of pages, etc.)
	GeoPDF	Medium (longer post process. could vary greatly dependent on original and number of pages, etc.)

ATTRIBUTE: Cost Factors: Cost of Providing Access

• Scoring conventions: Medium (derivatives needed), Low (copy of master serves access)

• Questions to Consider: Are derivatives necessary in order to provide broad access?

TIFF	Common TIFF, Uncompressed	Medium
	Common TIFF, Lossless Compressed	Medium
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF, Uncompressed	Medium
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF, Compressed	Medium
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	Medium
	JPEG 2000: JPX	Medium
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	Low
PNG	PNG	Low
PDF	PDF (1.1-1.7)	Low
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	Low
	GeoPDF	Low

ATTRIBUTE: Cost Factors: Cost of Preservation Processing

• Scoring conventions: High, Medium, Low

• Questions to Consider: Costs in relation to emulation, migration, etc. File integrity monitoring (bit level preservation, etc.) Tools that are needed to execute migration, emulation. Are there tools that are available that are cheap or free, or will there be custom

development or large investment necessary?

	or large investment necessary?	M 1' (' ' 1 ' 1 ' ' ' 1
TIFF	Common TIFF,	Medium (assumption is that raster easily
	Uncompressed	available for migration processing)
	Common TIFF,	Medium (assumption is that raster easily
	Lossless Compressed	available for migration processing)
	CooTIEE/D:oTIEE	Modium (assumetion is that restor assily
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Medium (assumption is that raster easily
	Uncompressed	available for migration processing)
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Medium (assumption is that raster easily
	Compressed	available for migration processing)
	Compressed	uvanable for inigration processing)
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	Medium
	JPEG 2000: JPX	Medium (caveat: if your profile is
		known, it would be the same level as JP2,
		but if not, the cost may be higher)
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	Low
PNG	PNG	Low
PDF	PDF (1.1-1.7)	Medium (could vary based on
		complexity)
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	Medium (could vary based on
		complexity)
	GeoPDF	Medium (could vary based on
	Geor Di	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		complexity)

ATTRIBUTE: System Implementation Factors: Level of difficulty/complexity

• Scoring conventions: High, Medium, Low

• Questions to Consider: What is the level of effort associated with the implementation of this format? Are there special requirements for this format that would change the nominal workflow for digitization/information life cycle? Cost of applications, software, etc.

TIFF	Common TIFF,	Low
	Uncompressed	
	Common TIFF,	Low
	Lossless Compressed	
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Low
	Uncompressed	
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Low
	Compressed	
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	Medium-high
	JPEG 2000: JPX	Medium-high
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	Low
PNG	PNG	Low
PDF	PDF (1.1-1.7)	Medium (could vary)
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	Medium (could vary)
	GeoPDF	Medium (could vary)

ATTRIBUTE: System Implementation Factors: Technical Complexity

• Scoring conventions: High, Medium, Low

• Questions to Consider: This is about the complexity of the implementation.

TIFF	Common TIFF, Uncompressed	Low
	Common TIFF, Lossless Compressed	Low
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF, Uncompressed	Low
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF, Compressed	Low
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	Medium-high
	JPEG 2000: JPX	Medium-high
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	Low
PNG	PNG	Low
PDF	PDF (1.1-1.7)	Medium (could vary)
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	Medium (could vary)
	GeoPDF	Medium (could vary)

ATTRIBUTE: System Implementation Factors: Toolset Complexity

• Scoring conventions: High, Medium, Low Questions to Consider: This factor relates to the level of difficulty/complexity of the toolsets available to implement. Are there many or few applications that support the format?

TIFF	Common TIFF, Uncompressed	Low
	Common TIFF, Lossless Compressed	Low
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF, Uncompressed	Medium
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF, Compressed	Medium
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	Medium-high
	JPEG 2000: JPX	Medium-high
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	Low
PNG	PNG	Low
PDF	PDF (1.1-1.7)	Low
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	Low
	GeoPDF	Low

ATTRIBUTE: System Implementation Factors: Availability of tools

- Scoring conventions: Wide availability, Moderate availability, Limited availability
- Questions to Consider: Are there tools available for this format? Are the tools open source? Are tools reliable when creating files that precisely meet the format specification? If a future digital archeologist had the format specification, how easy would it be to write an application?

application:		
TIFF	Common TIFF,	Wide Availability
	Uncompressed	
	Common TIFF,	Wide Availability
	Lossless Compressed	
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Moderate Availability
	Uncompressed	
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Moderate Availability
	Compressed	
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	Limited to Moderate Availability (not all tools support all features)
	JPEG 2000: JPX	Limited to Moderate Availability (not all tools support all features)
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	Wide Availability
PNG	PNG	Wide Availability
PDF	PDF (1.1-1.7)	Wide Availability
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	Wide Availability
	GeoPDF	Wide Availability

ATTRIBUTE: System Implementation Factors: Compatibility in existing enterprise environment

• Scoring conventions: Good, Acceptable, Poor

• Questions to Consider: What aspects should be looked at with the file format with respect to your enterprise environment? Can the format be OCR'd at all? To what extent does the file format carry the optimal information necessary for clear and accurate OCR? Are there any distinguishing characteristics of this file related to OCR?

TIFF	Common TIFF,	Good
	Uncompressed	
	Common TIFF, Lossless Compressed	Good
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Acceptable
	Uncompressed	Лесериоте
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Acceptable
	Compressed	
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	Acceptable to Poor
	JPEG 2000: JPX	Acceptable to Poor
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	Good
PNG	PNG	[no information]
PDF	PDF (1.1-1.7)	Good
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	Good
	GeoPDF	Good

ATTRIBUTE: System Implementation Factors: Ease and accuracy of File validation

- Scoring conventions: Good, Acceptable, Poor
- Questions to Consider: Can the format be validated using DROID/PRONOM or JHOVE/JHOVE2, or other tools? Does the format specification include concepts and methods for conformance?

ance?	
ommon TIFF,	Good
Incompressed	
•	
ommon TIFF.	Good
· ·	
obsiess compressed	
leoTIFF/BioTIFF	Good
_	3004
ncompressed	
leoTIFF/RioTIFF	Good
_	Good
ompressed	
PEG 2000: IP2	Good
LG 2000. JI 2	Good
DEC 2000: IDY	Good (not clear about validating geo-
FEG 2000. JF X	
	referencing metadata)
DEC (IEIE:41- EVIE)	Cool
PEG (JFIF with EXIF)	Good
NG	
NG	Acceptable
	~ .
DF (1.1-1.7)	Good
DF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	Good
D1/A(1, 1a, 1b, 2)	300 u
eoPDF	Good
	ommon TIFF, ncompressed ommon TIFF, ossless Compressed eoTIFF/BigTIFF, ncompressed eoTIFF/BigTIFF, ompressed PEG 2000: JP2 PEG 2000: JPX PEG (JFIF with EXIF) NG DF (1.1-1.7)

ATTRIBUTE: System Implementation Factors: Ease and accuracy of monitoring of quality

- Scoring conventions: Good, Acceptable, Poor
- Questions to Consider: How easy is it to obtain or build a tool that would ensure that you are producing a well formed, high quality file that complies with a user specification profile for this format?

tills format:	T a	T ~ .
TIFF	Common TIFF,	Good
	Uncompressed	
	Common TIFF,	Good
		Good
	Lossless Compressed	
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Good
	Uncompressed	
	1	
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Good
		Good
	Compressed	
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	Good
	JPEG 2000: JPX	Good (not clear about validating geo-
	31 LG 2000. 31 A	
		referencing metadata)
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	Good
PNG	PNG	Acceptable
PDF	DDE (1.1.1.7)	Good
L DI,	PDF (1.1-1.7)	Good
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	Good
	GeoPDF	Good
	-	

ATTRIBUTE: Settings and Capabilities: Clarity

• Scoring conventions: Pass, Fail

• Questions to Consider: Does the format offer good support for extended bit depths and extended pixel counts, and such added features as multi-channel/multi-layer/multi-page files?

(The values assigned in this category are especially rough-and-ready.)

	ned in this category are especial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
TIFF	Common TIFF,	Pass
	Uncompressed	
	Common TIFF,	Pass
	Lossless Compressed	
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Pass
	Uncompressed	
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Pass
	Compressed	
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	Pass
	JPEG 2000: JPX	Pass
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	Pass (DCT has lower level of clarity than DWT; and 8-bit has lower level of clarity than 16 bit)
PNG	PNG	Pass
PDF	PDF (1.1-1.7)	Pass (for cetain categories of material, we would want a greater bit depth)
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	Pass (for cetain categories of material, we would want a greater bit depth)
	GeoPDF	Pass (for cetain categories of material, we would want a greater bit depth)

ATTRIBUTE: Settings and Capabilities: Support for Color Maintenance

• Scoring conventions: Good, Acceptable, Poor

• Questions to Consider: How does the format support the documentation/metadata about the maintenance of color, e.g., tracking ICC profiles, or supporting the specification of sRGB, proRGB, eciRGB, Adobe RGB, or other color spaces?

TIFF	Common TIFF,	Good (caveat: to insert an ICC profile or
	Uncompressed	declare certain color spaces, you must use
	Cheompressed	an "extended tag set")
		un extended tag set)
	Common TIFF,	Good (caveat: to insert an ICC profile or
	Lossless Compressed	declare certain color spaces, you must use
	_	an "extended tag set")
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Good (caveat: to insert an ICC profile or
	Uncompressed	declare certain color spaces, you must use
		an "extended tag set")
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	Good (caveat: to insert an ICC profile or
	Compressed	declare certain color spaces, you must use
	Compressed	an "extended tag set")
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	Good (good but not perfect
		documentation of color space. Standards
		group working on these)
	IDEC 2000 IDV	
	JPEG 2000: JPX	Good (better documentation of color
		space than JP2)
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	Good (Requires EXIF or other extension
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	for embedding ICC profile. EXIF version
		is preferred for JPEG)
PNG	PNG	Good (metadata possible for
		chromaticity, gamma, and ICC profile)
PDF	PDF (1.1-1.7)	Good
ו טוי	1 DI (1.1-1./)	Good
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	Good
	, ,,,,	· ·
	GeoPDF	Good

ATTRIBUTE: Settings and Capabilities: Searchable Text Embedding

• Scoring conventions: Pass, Fail

• Questions to Consider:

TIFF	Common TIFF, Uncompressed	Fail (Not natively supported)
	Common TIFF, Lossless Compressed	Fail (Not natively supported)
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF, Uncompressed	Fail (Not natively supported)
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF, Compressed	Fail (Not natively supported)
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	Fail (Not natively supported)
	JPEG 2000: JPX	Fail (Not natively supported)
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	Fail (Not natively supported)
PNG	PNG	Fail (Not natively supported)
PDF	PDF (1.1-1.7)	Pass
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	Pass
	GeoPDF	Pass

ATTRIBUTE: Settings and Capabilities: Multi-Page Capability

• Scoring conventions: Pass, Fail

• Questions to Consider:

TIFF	Common TIFF, Uncompressed Common TIFF,	Pass Pass
	Lossless Compressed GeoTIFF/BigTIFF, Uncompressed	Pass
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF, Compressed	Pass
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	Fail (Not natively supported)
	JPEG 2000: JPX	Fail (Not natively supported)
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	Fail (Not natively supported)
PNG	PNG	Fail (Not natively supported)
PDF	PDF (1.1-1.7)	Pass
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	Pass
	GeoPDF	Pass

ATTRIBUTE: Settings and Capabilities: Notes on Maximum File Size

TIFF	Common TIFF,	Up to 4GB
	Uncompressed	
	Common TIFF,	Up to 4GB
	Lossless Compressed	_
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF,	GEO TIFF: up to 4GB
	Uncompressed	BigTIFF: up to 18,000 petabytes
		Like TIFF format, GeoTIFF uses 32-bit offsets, thus limiting its extent to 4 gigabytes. The needs of GIS, large format scanners, medical imaging and other fields have prompted development of the variant BigTIFF format, which transcends the 4 GB TIFF limit using 64-bit offsets thereby supporting files up to 18,000 petabytes in size.
	GeoTIFF/BigTIFF, Compressed	GEO TIFF: up to 4GB BigTIFF: up to 18,000 petabytes
	Compressed	Like TIFF format, GeoTIFF uses 32-bit
		offsets, thus limiting its extent to 4
		gigabytes. The needs of GIS, large format
		scanners, medical imaging and other
		fields have prompted development of the
		variant BigTIFF format, which transcends the 4 GB TIFF limit using 64-bit offsets
		thereby supporting files up to 18,000
		petabytes in size.
JPEG 2000	JPEG 2000: JP2	Practical limits may arise depending on
		application and/or pixel count (may be
		limited to 537 megapixels)
	JPEG 2000: JPX	Practical limits may arise depending on
		application and/or pixel count (may be
		limited to 537 megapixels)
JPEG	JPEG (JFIF with EXIF)	Practical limits may arise depending on
		application and/or pixel count
PNG	PNG	Practical limits may arise depending on
DDE	DDE (1.1.1.7)	application and/or pixel count
PDF	PDF (1.1-1.7)	Generally accepted practical limit is 2GB,
	DDE/A (1 1 a 11 2)	based on reader applications Congrelly accounted practical limit is 2CP
	PDF/A (1, 1a, 1b, 2)	Generally accepted practical limit is 2GB,
	GeoPDF	based on reader applications Generally accepted practical limit is 2GB,
	Geordi	based on reader applications
	L	based on reader applications